DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

PROCESS INSTRUMENT WITH SPLIT INTRINSIC SAFETY BARRIER

the specification of wh	ich (check one)				
$\sqrt{}$ is attached hereto.		on cation Serial No amended on _	o(if appl		
specification, including and that I believe the na which is claimed and for information which is ma Title 37 of the Code of	hat I have reviewed and the claims, as amended amed inventor(s) to be the or which a patent is sou aterial to patentability in Federal Regulations te that no patent applica e United States of Americ	by any amend ne original and f ight, and hereb accordance wi	Iment specifirst invent by acknow ith § 1.56 evention ha	cifically referred to ab or(s) of the subject m ledge the duty to disc (reprinted on the bac	oove, natter close sk) of
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE FILED (day, mon th,)		ORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119	—— ₁
•					
application(s) listed belows is not disclosed in the post of Title 35, United States the patentability of this occurred between the date of this application		bject matter of ation in the mawledge the dut Title 37, Code plication and the	each of the enner proving to disclor of Federal he riational	e claims of this applic ided by the first parag ose information mater Regulations, §1.56 v I or PCT international	ation graph rial to which filing
Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)		(Status:	patented, pending, a	bandoned)
Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)		(Status:	patented, pending, a	bandoned)

I hereby appoint Jeffrey L. Clark (Reg. 29,141), Jeffrey N. Fairchild (Reg. 37,825), Stephen D. Geimer (Reg. 28,846), Allen H. Hoover (Reg. 24,103), Martin L. Katz (Reg. 25,011), John J. King (Reg. 35,918), F. William McLaughlin (Reg. 32,273), Dean A. Monco (Reg. 30,091), John S. Mortimer (Reg. 30,407), Lisa V. Mueller (Reg. 38,978), Paul M. Odell (Reg. 28,332), Richard S. Phillips (Reg. No. 17,314), and Joel E. Siegel (Reg. 25,440), each registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and practicing as the firm of WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER, 500 WEST MADISON STREET, SUITE 3800, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60661 (Telephone 312-876-1800), my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to make alterations or amendments therein, to receive the patent and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and direct that all correspondence be addressed the firm. All telephone inquiries may be directed to:

F	William	McI	aughlin
١.	vviilidiii	IVICE	-auumm

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	Full Name of sole	
	first Joint Inventor: Anthony M. Faust	Citizenship:USA
	Inventor's Signature: Ontrouth, Faut	Date: July 18, 2003
	Residence: Lombard, Illinois	
	Post Office Address: c/o 5300 Belmont Road, Downers G	rove, Illinois 60515

§1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best (a) served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any exists claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

application, and

- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph(b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.